



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/686,546 | 10/11/2000 | Charles E Covatch | 10294-539001 | 5745 |

7590 06/17/2002

TIMOTHY A. FRENCH
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110-2804

EXAMINER

STASHICK, ANTHONY D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3728

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/686,546

Applicant(s)

COVATCH, CHARLES E

Examiner

Anthony D Stashick

Art Unit

3728

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anthony D Stashick.

(3) _____.

(2) David Chen (Reg. # 44,613).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Crowley '688, Schabsky '811, Johnsen '829, Turner '836, Avilles Palazzo '450.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANTHONY D. STASHICK
PRIMARY EXAMINER


Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Chen discussed concerns with the combinations of the references applied and the placement of the instep protectoe between the vamp and lining as well as the protector having hollow chambers. Anthony stated that the tongue of Schabsky was considered a liner but that Johnsen and turner each teach the placement of an instep protector between a liner and a vamp. Anthony also pointed out that Avelles Palazzo teaches the use of hollow chamber to protect the instep from impact and the obviousness of using the hollow chambers in one of the other protectors located between the lining and the vamp .